

בס"ד

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

Week of

Parshas Devorim

9 Menachem Av, 5778 – July 21, 2018

Compiled from the works of
Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson
The Lubavitcher Rebbe

by
Rabbi Shmuel Mendelsohn

A Project of
Vaad L'Hafotzas Sichos
Copyright 2018©

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

An Outline of the Rebbe's Explanation of Rashi Parshas Devorim

Likkutei Sichos Volume 14, Pages 1 – 7

Rashi in His Own Words

דברים א', א': אלה הדברים אשר דבר משה אל כל ישראל בעבר הירדן במדבר בערבה מול סוף בין פארן ובין תפל ולבן ונזרות
ןדי זקב:

רש"י ד"ה אלה הדברים: לפי שהן דברי תוכחות ומנה כאן כל המקומות שהכעיסו לפני המקום בהן, לפיכך סתם את הדברים
והזכירם ברמז מפני כבודן של ישראל:

רש"י ד"ה ודי זהב: הוכיחן על העגל שעשו בשביל רוב זהב שהיה להם, שנאמר (הושע ב', י') "וכסף הרביתי לה וזהב עשו
לבעל":

Devorim 1:1: These are the words which Moshe spoke to all of the Jews on that side of the Jordan in the desert, in the plain opposite the Red Sea, between Paran and Tofel and Lavan and Chatzeros and Di Zahav.

Rashi Heading - These are the words: These are words of rebuke. He, (meaning Moshe) enumerates here all the places where they angered Hashem. Therefore, it makes no explicit mention of the incidents (in which they transgressed), but rather merely alludes to them (by mentioning the names of the places). This is done out of respect for Israel.

Rashi Heading - and Di-Zahav: He rebuked them for the calf they had made as a result of their abundance of gold, as it is said¹, "and I gave her much silver and gold, but they made it for Baal."

Synopsis

This week's Torah portion, Devorim, begins the fifth book of the Torah. It takes place at the end of the forty years in the wilderness and tells us the words that Moshe spoke to the Jewish Nation on the eastern side of the Jordan. It lists many of the places where the Jewish were during their forty-year sojourn in the wilderness. Rashi explains to us, that listing these places was actually a subtle way of rebuking the Jews. He was alluding to sins which were committed by the Jewish people in various places. However, there are three words in this verse which seem to be the names of places but were actually not. These "cities" are Tofel, Lavan and Di Zahav. The literal meaning of "Di Zahav" is "too much gold." Rashi explains that with these words Moshe is rebuking the Jews for the sin of the Golden Calf. The reason for this sin was that we were given too much gold. Rashi cites a proof-text from the prophet Hoshea, "and I gave her much silver and gold, but they made it for Baal."

Rashi is teaching us that "Di Zahav" was not the name of a place; rather the words themselves alluded to the sin. Why is it not possible to say that it is the name of a place, and it was called by that name

1. Hoshea 2:10.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

because the sin took place there? We find many instances in the Torah of places which are called by a particular name because of an event which occurred there. The fact that there is no place called “Di Zahav” mentioned elsewhere in the Bible does not present a difficulty. There are other places which are mentioned only once in the Bible.

Additionally, earlier, when the Torah tells us of the actual sin of the Golden Calf, and how Moshe prayed to G-d to save the Jewish Nation, Rashi states the identical idea which he states here. Moshe says to G-d, “Please! This people has committed a grave sin. They have made themselves a god of gold.” Rashi cites the words “a god of gold,” and explains that “It was You Who caused them (to sin), for You lavished upon them gold and whatever they desired. How could they have not sinned?” Why does Rashi use a proof-text from the Prophets, rather than one that the beginning student learned earlier?

The explanation is, that the earlier mention of the large amount of gold which the Jews were given was meant to mitigate their sin; Moshe was praying for their forgiveness. He told G-d that the sin could be attributed in part to the large amount of gold He gave them. Here Moshe is rebuking the people, he is telling them that they used the large amount of gold which Hashem gave them in order to build an idol. One cannot be used to prove the other, because they are opposites.

However, in neither case was the gold the *reason* that the Jews committed the sin, hence there was no reason to call the place “Di Zahav.”

Rashi’s Explanation

This week’s Torah portion, Devorim, begins the fifth book of the Torah. It begins with the words², “These are the words which Moshe spoke to all of the Jews on that side of the Jordan in the desert, in the plain opposite the Red Sea, between Paran and Tofel and Lavan and Chatzeros and Di Zahav.” Rashi begins his explanation of this verse by citing from the verse “these are the words,” and explaining that Moshe used this as an opportunity to discreetly rebuke the Jewish people. Instead of mentioning the sins which they committed, he mentioned the names of the places in which they committed these sins. This was done in order to avoid embarrassing them. Further on Rashi cites the words “and Di Zahav,” and explains that Moshe “rebuked them for the calf they had made as a result of their abundance of gold, as it is said, ‘and I gave her much silver and gold, but they made it for Baal.’ “

The fact is that Rashi already explained that this verse is one of subtle rebuke. Why does he need to repeat in his explanation of “Di Zahav” that “he rebuked them ...?” The reason is, that Rashi is teaching us that Di Zahav is not the name of a place. Hence, we may not have been aware that these are also words

2. Parshas Devorim, Devorim 1:1.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

of rebuke³. Therefore, Rashi is teaching us that despite the fact that "Di Zahav" is not the name of a place, nevertheless it is a form of rebuke, because the actual meaning of these words is "enough gold." He was rebuking them for using the gold which Hashem gave them in order to make an idol, rather than using it for positive purposes. This is similar to Rashi's comments on "between Paran and Tofel and Lavan." Rashi explains there that "Moshe rebuked them about the foolish things which they said (Taflu - תפלו in Hebrew) about the Manna, which was white (Lovon - לבן in Hebrew)." In other words, Tofel and Lavan were also not names of places. Why does Rashi understand that the "cities" listed in the verse, Lavan, Tofel and Di Zahav are not actual places? Because, as he wrote about Lavan and Tofel, "Rabbi Yochanan said, 'we have reviewed the entire Bible, and have found no place named Lavan or Tofel.'"

Difficulties in Understanding Rashi

Why must Rashi assume that Di Zahav is not the name of a place? We find many places which were named after an event which took place there⁴; in this case it would be a place where the Jews committed the sin of the Golden Calf. Furthermore, there are places which are just mentioned once in the Tanach. The fact that these places are not found elsewhere does not seem to rule this out.

We cannot say the same regarding Lavan and Tofel. "Foolish things that were done with the Manna which was white" does not allude to any particular sin. The fact that Manna is white is merely one of its attributes, its color. In addition to that, all sins involve foolishness. As names of places, they would not allude to any particular sin. Di Zahav, however, at least explains the cause of the sin of the Golden Calf.

Rashi previously stated the same point which he makes here. The sin of the Golden Calf was caused by the fact that the Jews were given too much gold. When the Torah tells us of this sin and Moshe's beseeching G-d for forgiveness, it says⁵ "Moshe returned to the Lord and said, 'Please! This people has committed a grave sin. They have made themselves a god of gold.'" "Rashi cites the words "a god of gold," and explains that Moshe was telling Hashem that "It was You Who caused them (to sin), for You lavished upon them gold and whatever they desired. How could they have avoided sinning?" Instead of proving his position from the Prophets, the book of Hoshea, why did he not bring a proof from an earlier verse in the Torah, something which the beginning student already learned?

3. In other words, since Rashi begins by saying that "Moshe enumerates all of the places . . .," we understand that all of the locations listed are words of rebuke. However, we would assume that Tofel, Lavan and Di Zahav which are *not* names of places, are also not words of rebuke.

4. See for example Parshas Noach, Bereishis 11:9, Parshas Vayeiro, Bereishis 21:31, Parshas Vayechi, Bereishis 50:11, Parshas Beshalach, Shemos 17:6, Parshas Shelach, Bamidbar 13:24. Please note that there are additional instances of this.

5. Parshas Ki Siso, Shemos 32:31.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

The Explanation

It is quite clear why Rashi explains in the earlier verse that the Jews sinned because Hashem gave them too much gold. Otherwise, it is impossible to understand. Moshe is praying on behalf of the Jews. What does he say? “Please! This people has committed a grave sin. They have made themselves a god of gold.” What sort of a prayer is that? He seems to be emphasizing how great our sin was, rather than trying to minimize it! Therefore, Rashi explains that Moshe could not minimize the sin; to the contrary, he said that “they committed a grave sin.” However, he could rationalize the sin. His plea was that the Jewish people were “guilty with an explanation.” The Jews only sinned because G-d gave them too much gold.

From this it is clear that Rashi could not bring a proof from there. There Rashi was trying to emphasize that we were not *totally* responsible. Here Rashi is explaining how Moshe rebuked the Jews, i.e. he was using this as a reason to emphasize the severity of the sin. They committed the sin with the large amount of gold which G-d had given them.

Based on this, we can understand why “Di Zahav” could not have been the name of the place. One can explain the earlier verse where Moshe was praying for the Jews, that the large amount of gold was a mitigating factor in the commission of the sin. One can also say, according to our verse, that the large amount of gold added to the severity of the sin. However, in either case it was not the *actual cause* of the sin. It is merely a superficial issue which explains the manner in which the sin was committed. The actual cause of the sin was that⁶ “the people saw that Moshe was late in coming down from the mountain,” after having spent forty days and nights there receiving the Torah. Therefore, the place where the sin took place would not be called “Di Zahav” based on the amount of gold the people had.

A Deeper Lesson from Rashi

We still need to understand Rashi’s choice of words. Why does Rashi say that “he rebuked them for the calf they had made as a result of their abundance of gold?” Since Moshe is rebuking them, it would seem that “he rebuked them for the calf they had made *with* their abundance of gold.” It seems that while rebuking them, Moshe is also giving an explanation for their sin. He is supplying a rationalization as to why it might not *entirely* be their fault. Why would Rashi use the same words to allude to both their guilt and their innocence?

The explanation is that this is exactly what Rashi is doing, and for good reason. Rashi began his explanation of this verse, and this book, by saying that the rebuke is stated in a manner that “makes no explicit mention of the incidents (in which they transgressed), but rather merely alludes to them (by mentioning the names of the places). This is done out of respect for Israel.” Out of respect for Israel, he

6. Beginning with Ki Siso, Shemos 32:1.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

also added something to minimize the sin. That is the reason that Rashi specifically wrote that they made the calf “as a result of their abundance of gold.”

It is understood, that just as Moshe was careful to show “respect to Israel” regarding Di Zahav, the same was true regarding all of the other places/rebukes which are mentioned. To mention just one example from the beginning of the verse, the first rebuke which Moshe alluded to was by mentioning the desert, Rashi explains that this is rebuke for angering Hashem in the desert, by saying⁷ “if only we had died by the hand of G-d.” Why does the Torah use a general term, such as desert, wilderness? This word includes everywhere the Jewish people were for forty years! Rather, in order to show respect to Israel, the Torah mentions a word which serves to minimize their sins. It demonstrates the great test which our ancestors were facing. They were in “a great and awesome desert, (in which there were) snakes, vipers, scorpions and drought; in which there was no water⁸.” Finding themselves in such a situation, such a severe test, would certainly mitigate the sins of the Jewish people. Aside from rebuke, it would also demonstrate the respect due to them.

The fact that we are in Exile now, is a rebuke. However, this rebuke is tempered with the respect due to the Jewish people. The ultimate purpose of Exile is to bring about an ascent which will raise the honor and respect due to Jewish Nation to an unprecedented level. The true purpose of descent is to rise to an even higher level.

(Adapted from a talk given on Shabbos Parshas Devorim 5731)

To dedicate a week, a month or a year of

The Rashi of the Week, visit

<http://rebbeachesrashi.org/contact-us-dedicate-an-issue>

You can find us on the web at www.RebbeTeachesRashi.org.

7. Parshas Beshalach, Shemos 16:3.

8. Parshas Shoftim, Devorim 8:15.

**DEDICATED IN HONOR OF
the Lubavitcher Rebbe**

* * *

**IN HONOR OF
Chaim and Aiden Oded שיחיו Morris**

*

**DEDICATED BY THEIR PARENTS
Rabbi & Mrs. Menachem M. and Chaya Mushka שיחיו Morris**

* * *

**IN HONOR OF
Hatomim Avrohom Moshe ben Mina Esther שי' Gordon
For a complete and speedy recovery**

*

**DEDICATED BY HIS CLASSMATES
in
YESHIVAH TORAH OHR שיחיו**

**IN LOVING MEMORY OF OUR MOTHER
Mrs. Brocha bas Reb Tzvi Nechemiah Hacoheh ע"ה Cohen
Passed away on 8 Shevat, 5778
May Her Soul be bound in the Eternal Bond of Life**

*

DEDICATED BY HER FAMILY

* * *

**IN HONOR OF
Mr. Sholom Moshe Hacoheh ben Tzivia שי' Cohen
For a complete and speedy recovery**

* * *

**DEDICATED BY
Hatomim Moshe Shlomoh Zohar שי' Mars**

*

May he merit to be a Chossid, a Yerei Shomayim and a Lamdon

מוקדש לזכות

כ"ק אדמו"ר נשיא דורנו מליובאוויטש

* * *

לזכות

חיילי "צבאות השם" חיים ועדן עודד שיחיו מאריס

*

נדפס ע"י הוריהם

הרה"ת ר' מנחם מענדל וזוגתו מרת חי' מושקא שיחיו מאריס

* * *

לזכות

הת' אברהם משה בן מרת מינה אסתר שי' גארדאן

לרפואה שלימה וקרובה

*

נדפס ע"י חבריו התמימים

בישיבה תורה אור

* * *

לעילוי נשמת

מרת ברכה בת ר' צבי נחמי' הכהן ע"ה כהן

נפטרה ביום ח' שבט, ה'תשע"ח

ת. נ. צ. ב. ה.

*

נדפס ע"י בני משפחתה שיחיו

* * *

לזכות

ר' שלום משה הכהן בן צבי' שי' כהן

לרפואה שלימה וקרובה

* * *

נתרם ע"י

הת' משה שלמה זהר שי' מארס

*

יהי רצון שיזכה להיות חסיד, ירא שמים, ולמדן