

בס"ד

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

Week of

Parshas Pinchos

24 Tammuz, 5779 – July 27, 2019

Compiled from the works of
Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson
The Lubavitcher Rebbe

by
Rabbi Shmuel Mendelsohn
North Miami Beach, FL

A Project of
Vaad L'Hafotzas Sichos
Copyright 2019©

An Outline of the Rebbe's Explanation of Rashi Parshas Pinchos

Likkutei Sichos Volume 13, Pages 93 – 98

Rashi in His Own Words

במדבר כ"ז, ה': ויקרב משה את משפּטן לפני ה':

רש"י ד"ה ויקרב משה את משפּטן: נתעלמה הלכה ממנו וכאן נפרע על שנטל עטרה לומר (דברים א', י"ז) והדבר אשר יקשה מכם תקריבון אלי. דבר אחר ראוייה היתה פרשה זו להכתב על ידי משה, אלא שזכו בנות צלפחד ונכתבה על ידן:

Bamidbar 27:5: And Moshe brought their (Tzelofchod's daughters) case before the Lord.

Rashi Heading - So Moshe brought their case: The law eluded him. Here he was punished for crowning himself (with authority) by saying¹, “and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.” Another interpretation is, that this passage ought to have been written through Moshe, but Tzelofchod’s daughters were meritorious, so it was written through them.

Synopsis

This week’s Torah portion, Pinchos, tells us of the five daughters of Tzelofchod of the tribe of Menashe. Their father, who had no sons, had passed away. It was understood that only sons were to inherit a portion in the land. This being the case, they approached Moshe, Elozor the High Priest, the leaders of the tribes and the entire congregation with a question. "Why should our father's name be lost from among his family just because he had no sons? Give us a portion in the land." Moshe brought their case, or their judgment before Hashem.

Rashi seems to be bothered by the question that this incident took place during the fortieth year of the Jews wandering in the wilderness. Nevertheless, it seems as if Moshe had not yet been taught the laws of inheritance. Why did Hashem wait forty years to teach him these laws? Rashi offers two explanations. The first is that Moshe did know the law, but as a punishment these laws eluded him; he forgot them. The punishment was for crowning himself (with authority) by saying, 'and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.' The second answer Rashi gives, is that because of the great merit of Tzelofchod’s daughters, who wanted a portion in the land so badly, these laws were given through them, rather than through Moshe.

However, this poses a number of questions. There are earlier instances of laws which Moshe did not hear until the fortieth year in the wilderness, and Rashi does not comment on this at all. This tells us that according to Peshat, the fact that Moshe was not told a law until the fortieth year is not problematic.

1. Parshas Devorim, Devorim 1:17.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

Why does this bother Rashi here, despite the fact that earlier he did not find it problematic? Additionally, why does Rashi need to give two answers to his question?

The explanation is, that Rashi is not bothered by the fact that Moshe was not yet taught this law. There are other laws which were taught even later. The difficulty which Rashi is pointing out is in understanding the words the Torah uses "so Moshe brought their case." Why does it refer to this as a case? If it was simply a matter of Moshe not knowing the law. The Torah could have said "their matter," which would include any sort of question. The fact that it uses the word "case," implies that there was something which required a verdict; a halachic decision. If Moshe had not yet learned this law, he would be seeking an answer, not a verdict. Because of this, Rashi states that Moshe did learn this law, but forgot it. For Moshe forgetting this, it became a "case." How is it possible that Moshe, who was the first link in the chain of the transmission of the Torah, forgot a part of the law? Rashi continues and explains that he was being punished for having said "and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me." However, based upon this we have yet another difficulty. The incident with Tzelofchod's daughters happened 39 years after Moshe made that statement. Why would Hashem delay his punishment, and hence his rectification for such a long time? Therefore, Rashi finds this answer insufficient and writes a second answer. However, the second answer is even more difficult. Why would Hashem cause Moshe to forget a part of the Torah due to the merit of Tzelofchod's daughters? Moshe was the original link in the transmission of the Torah! This is why Rashi presents both answers. We can ask a different question on each of them. However, the question on the first answer is not as difficult as that which can be asked on the second.

Rashi's Explanation

This week's Torah portion, Pinchos, tells us of the daughters of Tzelofchod of the tribe of Menashe; Machloh, Noah, Chogloh, Milkoh, and Tirtzoh. Their father, who had no sons, had passed away. It was understood that only sons were to inherit a portion in the land. This being the case, they approached Moshe, Elozor the High Priest, the leaders of the tribes and the entire congregation with a question. "Why should our father's name be lost from among his family just because he had no sons? Give us a portion in the land." Moshe brought their case, or their judgment before Hashem.

Rashi cites the words from the verse "And Moshe brought their case," and explains that "The law eluded him (meaning Moshe). Here he was punished for crowning himself (with authority) by saying, 'and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.' Another interpretation is, that this passage ought to have been written through Moshe, but Tzelofchod's daughters were meritorious, so it was written through them."

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

What they were actually asking for was for the detailed laws of inheritance. What happens when one has no sons? Rashi is answering a question. Why is it that at the end of the fortieth year, near the conclusion of our sojourn in the wilderness, Moshe did not yet know the laws of inheritance? To this Rashi gives us two answers. The first is that Moshe *did* know the laws, but he was punished by forgetting them. The second answer Rashi gives is that because of the great merit of Tzelofchod's daughters for their burning desire to have a portion in the land, these laws were given through them, rather than through Moshe.

Difficulties in Understanding Rashi

We find a number of other times in the Torah that Moshe was unsure about a particular law prior to the fortieth year in the wilderness², yet Rashi does not mention that those laws eluded him. From this we understand that according to Rashi, the fact that Moshe was not taught a law until the fortieth year is not a question according to Peshat. This being the case, why does Rashi find it necessary to state here that the law eluded Moshe?

Similarly, we can ask regarding Rashi's second explanation. When Rashi says that "this passage ought to have been written through Moshe...", he is implying that Moshe did not yet hear it. Rashi explains that the reason that Moshe did not hear it yet was because "Tzelofchod's daughters were meritorious." Why is it that Rashi needs to supply an explanation for Moshe not having heard the law up until that point, as opposed to the other instances where he did not know the law?

We know that Rashi is precise not only regarding the words of his comments, but also regarding the words which form the heading of his commentary. If Rashi's intent is to explain why Moshe had not heard this law, why does he cite the words "their case?" Seemingly citing the words "and Moshe brought" would suffice. Rashi is apparently not explaining the words "their case."

When the Torah teaches us the law of the Second Pesach, Rashi gives an explanation which is very similar to his second explanation here. He states that³, "This portion should really have been said through Moshe, like the rest of the Torah. However, these people merited that it be said through them, for merit is brought about through the meritorious." The major difference between these two explanations, is that there it says that "it should have been *said* through Moshe ... these people merited that it be *said* through them." Whereas here Rashi says that "this passage ought to have been *written* through Moshe ... it was *written*

2. The case of the one who blasphemed Hashem (beginning with Parshas Emor, Vayikroh 24:10, also see Rashi there), the law of the Second Pesach (beginning with Parshas Beha'aloscho, Bamidbar 9:6) and the law of the man gathering wood on Shabbos (Parshas Shelach, beginning with Bamidbar 15:32, see also Rashi there).

3. Parshas Beha'aloscho, Bamidbar 9:7.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

through them." Why did Rashi change his expression here and say that "it ought to have been *written*."

Finally, there is a rule in the Rebbe's understanding of Rashi, that when he offers two different explanations, it is because neither explains Peshat thoroughly. There is a question which can be asked of each explanation. However, the first explanation he offers is closer to Peshat. What are the difficulties with each explanation that brought Rashi to write two explanations?

The Explanation

As mentioned above, there is absolutely no difficulty in Hashem waiting till near the end of the Jews' sojourn in the wilderness to teach Moshe a particular law. Rashi's question here is rather why the Torah uses the phrase that Moshe brought "*their case*" before Hashem. Seemingly, it should have said that he brought "their matter" before Hashem, which is a far more common expression. The Hebrew word for matter, דבר, includes any sort of question. The Hebrew word for case, משפט, also means verdict or judgment; in other words, Moshe was seeking a verdict, a clarification. Since apparently Moshe's question came from *not knowing* the law, how can we say that he was seeking a verdict, the resolution of a question? I would think that it would be more appropriate to say that Moshe "brought their case before the Lord," in order to find out what the law was!

Therefore, Rashi explains (in his first answer) that Moshe was well aware of the law. However, at this moment "it eluded him;" it escaped him. The Torah is telling us that this is what the "case" was about. Moshe knew the proper verdict of the case, however, he temporarily forgot it. Therefore Rashi includes in his heading the words "and Moshe (brought their case)," because it could only be called a "case" for *Moshe*. For anyone other than Moshe it would have been called a matter and not a case.

However, we are still left with a question. How is it possible that Moshe, the teacher of the Jewish Nation, the one that received the Torah for all Jews in all generations, forgot a law? Therefore, Rashi continues and says that there was a good reason for his forgetting this law; it was a punishment for having said that "the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me." That presents us with another difficulty. Moshe said that during the first year in the wilderness. Why did Hashem wait 39 years to punish him? When Hashem punishes someone, it is not merely for the sake of punishment. Rather, it cleanses, "fixes" the damage caused by the offense. It is hard to understand that G-d would wait that long in order to rectify something for Moshe. This is especially true because for all of those years the nation would have thought that he behaved properly!

That is why Rashi also offers a second answer. It took place now due to the merit of Tzelofchod's daughters. Rashi is saying that Moshe did not forget the law. Rather he is explaining why this law was taught now.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

That is also the reason that Rashi is particular to say that this law was *written* in the merit of the daughters of Tzelofchod, rather than saying that it was *said* in their merit. The laws of inheritance were said to Moshe earlier. However, it was in their merit that it was written in the Torah. However, this presents us with an extremely strong question. Can we say that due to their merit Moshe should forget Torah laws? That's the reason that Rashi writes the other explanation first. That explanation which Rashi writes first is the primary explanation according to Peshat. It is extremely difficult to say that Moshe, who was the very first link in the transmission of Torah to all generations, would forget a law due to the merit of others.

(Adapted from a talk given on Shabbos Parshas Pinchos 5726)

To dedicate a week, a month or a year of

The Rashi of the Week, visit

<http://rebbeteachesrashi.org/contact-us-dedicate-an-issue>

You can find us on the web at www.RebbeTeachesRashi.org.

You can find our blog at <https://rebbeteachesrashi.wordpress.com/blog/>.

**DEDICATED IN HONOR OF
the Lubavitcher Rebbe**

* * *

IN HONOR OF

The Soldiers of Tzivos Hashem **Chaim** and **Aiden Oded** שיחיו **Morris**

*

DEDICATED BY THEIR PARENTS

Rabbi & Mrs. **Menachem M.** and **Chaya Mushka** שיחיו **Morris**

* * *

IN LOVING MEMORY OF OUR FATHER

Mr. **Sholom Moshe** ben Reb **Shlomo Meir** Hacoheן ע"ה **Cohen**

Passed away on Shabbos Parshas Beshalach, 13 Shevat, 5779

May His Soul be bound in the Eternal Bond of Life

*

DEDICATED BY HIS FAMILY שיחיו

**מוקדש לזכות
כ"ק אדמו"ר נשיא דורנו מליובאוויטש**

*** * ***

לזכות

חיילי "צבאות השם" חיים ועדן עודד שיחיו מאריס

נדפס ע"י הוריהם

הרה"ת ר' מנחם מענדל וזוגתו מרת חי' מושקא שיחיו מאריס

*** * ***

לעילוי נשמת

ר' שלום משה בן ר' שלמה מאיר הכהן ע"ה כהן

נפטר ש"ק פ' בשלח, י"ג שבט, ה'תשע"ט

ת. נ. צ. ב. ה.

נדפס ע"י בני משפחתו שיחיו