

בס"ד

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

Week of

Parshas Pinchos

19 Tammuz, 5786 – July 4, 2026

Compiled from the works of
Rabbi Menachem Mendel Schneerson
The Lubavitcher Rebbe

by
Rabbi Shmuel Mendelsohn
North Miami Beach, FL

A Project of
Vaad L'Hafotzas Sichos
Copyright 2026©

An Outline of the Rebbe's Explanation of Rashi Parshas Pinchos

Likkutei Sichos Volume 13, Pages 93 – 98

Rashi in His Own Words

במדבר כ"ז, ה': ויקרב משה את משפחתו לפני ה':

רש"י ד"ה ויקרב משה את משפחתו: נתעלמה הלכה ממנו וכאן נפרע על שנטל עטרה לומר (דברים א', י"ז) והדבר אשר יקשה מכם תקריבון אלי. דבר אחר ראוייה היתה פרשה זו להכתב על ידי משה, אלא שזכו בנות צלפחד ונכתבה על ידן:

Bamidbar 27:5: And Moshe brought their (Tzelofchod's daughters) case before the Lord.

Rashi Heading - So Moshe brought their case: The law eluded him. Here he was punished for crowning himself (with authority) by saying¹, “and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.” Another interpretation is that this passage ought to have been written through Moshe, but Tzelofchod’s daughters were worthy, so it was written through them.

Synopsis

In this week's Torah portion, Pinchos, we read of the five daughters of Tzelofchod of the tribe of Menashe. Their father, who had no sons, passed away. We only knew that sons were to inherit a portion of the land. This being the case, they approached Moshe, Elozor, the High Priest, the leaders of the tribes, and the entire congregation with a question. "Why should our father's name be lost from among his family just because he had no sons? Give us a portion in the land." Moshe brought their case or their judgment before Hashem.

Rashi seems bothered that this incident occurred during the fortieth year of the Jews' wandering in the wilderness. Nevertheless, it appears as if Moshe had not yet learned the laws of inheritance. Why did Hashem wait forty years to teach him these laws? Rashi offers two explanations. The first is that Moshe did know the law, but as a punishment, he forgot them. The penalty was for crowning himself (with authority) by saying, 'and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.' The second answer Rashi gives is that because of the great merit of Tzelofchod's daughters, who wanted a portion in the land so badly, Hashem gave us these laws through them rather than through Moshe.

However, this poses several questions. There are earlier instances of laws that Moshe did not hear until the fortieth year in the wilderness. Rashi does not comment on this at all. This tells us that according

1. Parshas Devorim, Devorim 1:17.

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

to Peshat, the fact that Hashem did not teach Moshe a law until the fortieth year is not problematic. Why does this bother Rashi here, even though he did not question it earlier? Additionally, why does Rashi need to give two answers to his question?

The explanation is that Rashi is not bothered by the fact that Moshe did not yet learn this law. Hashem taught him other laws even later. The difficulty Rashi points out is understanding the words the Torah uses: "so Moshe brought their case." Why does it refer to this as a case? If it were simply a matter of Moshe not knowing the law. The Torah could have said "their matter," which would include any question. The fact that it uses the word "case" implies that something required a verdict, a halachic decision. If Hashem had not yet taught Moshe this law, he would be seeking an answer, not a judgment. Because of this, Rashi states that Moshe did know this law but forgot it. For Moshe, forgetting this, it became a "case." How is it possible that Moshe, who was the first link in the chain of the transmission of the Torah, forgot a part of the law? Rashi continues and explains that Hashem punished him for having said, "and the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me." However, based upon this, we have yet another difficulty.

The incident involving Tzelofchod's daughters occurred thirty-nine years after Moshe made that statement. Why would Hashem delay his punishment and hence his rectification for such a long time? Therefore, Rashi finds this answer insufficient and writes a second answer. However, the second answer is even more difficult. Why would Hashem cause Moshe to forget a part of the Torah due to the merit of Tzelofchod's daughters? Moshe was the original link in the transmission of the Torah! This is why Rashi presents both answers. The first answer is more straightforward than the second.

Rashi's Explanation

This week's Torah portion, Pinchos, tells us of the daughters of Tzelofchod of the tribe of Menashe: Machloh, Noah, Chogloh, Milkoh, and Tirtzoh. Their father, who had no sons, had passed away. We understood that only sons were to inherit a portion of the land. This being the case, they approached Moshe, Elozor, the High Priest, the leaders of the tribes, and the entire congregation with a question. "Why should our father's name be lost from among his family just because he had no sons? Give us a portion in the land." Moshe brought their case or their judgment before Hashem.

Rashi cites the words from the verse, "And Moshe brought their case," and explains that "The law eluded him (meaning Moshe). Here he was punished for crowning himself (with authority) by saying, 'and

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

the case that is too difficult for you, bring to me.' Another interpretation is that Moshe should have taught us this passage, but Tzelofchod's daughters were worthy, so Hashem taught it to us through them."

They were asking for the specific laws of inheritance. What happens when one has no sons? Rashi is answering a question. At the end of the fortieth year, it was near the conclusion of our travels through the wilderness. Yet Moshe did not learn the laws of inheritance! To this, Rashi gives us two answers. The first is that Moshe *did* know the laws, but Hashem punished him. He forgot the laws. The second answer Rashi gives is that it was because of the great merit of Tzelofchod's daughters for their burning desire to have a portion in the land. Therefore, Hashem taught us these laws through them rather than Moshe.

Difficulties in Understanding Rashi

We find several other instances in the Torah where Moshe was unsure about a particular law before the fortieth year in the wilderness. Yet, Rashi does not mention that those laws eluded him. From this, we understand that, according to Rashi, the fact that Moshe was not taught law until the fortieth year is not a question under Peshat. This being the case, why does Rashi find it necessary to state here that the law eluded Moshe?

Similarly, we can ask about Rashi's second explanation. When Rashi says that "this passage ought to have been written through Moshe...", he implies that Moshe did not yet hear it. Rashi explains that Moshe did not listen to it, however, because "Tzelofchod's daughters were meritorious." Why is it that Rashi needs to supply an explanation for Moshe not having heard the law up until that point, as opposed to the other instances where he did not know the law?

We know that Rashi is precise in his choice of words and in the terms that form the heading of his commentary. If Rashi intends to explain why Moshe had not heard this law, why does he cite the words "their case?" Seemingly quoting the words "and Moshe brought" would suffice. Rashi is not explaining the words "their case."

When the Torah teaches us the law of the Second Pesach, Rashi offers an explanation that is very similar to his second explanation here. He states, "This portion should have been said through Moshe, like the rest of the Torah. However, these people merited that it be said through them, for merit is brought about through the exemplary." The significant difference between these two explanations is that the first says, "it should have been *said* through Moshe ... these people merited that it be *said* through them." Whereas here, Rashi says that "this passage ought to have been *written* through Moshe ... it was *written* through them." Why did Rashi change his expression here and say that "it ought to have been *written*?"

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

Finally, there is a rule in the Rebbe's understanding of Rashi: when he offers two different explanations, it is because neither explains the Peshat thoroughly. There is a difficulty with each answer. However, the first explanation he offers is closer to Peshat. What are the problems with each explanation?

The Explanation

As mentioned above, there is absolutely no difficulty in Hashem waiting till near the end of the Jews' sojourn in the wilderness to teach Moshe a particular law. Rashi's question is why the Torah uses the phrase that Moshe brought "*their case*" before Hashem. Seemingly, it should have said that he brought "their matter" before Hashem, a far more common expression. The Hebrew word for matter, דבר, includes any question. The Hebrew word for case, משפט, also means "verdict" or "judgment." In other words, Moshe was seeking a decision, a clarification. Since apparently Moshe's question arose from not knowing the law, how can we say he was seeking a verdict, the resolution of a question? I would think that it would be more appropriate to say that Moshe "brought their case before the Lord" to find out what the law was!

Therefore, Rashi explains (in his first answer) that Moshe was well aware of the law. However, at this moment, "it eluded him;" it escaped him. The Torah tells us this is what the "case" was about. Moshe knew the proper verdict of the case. However, he temporarily forgot it. Therefore, Rashi includes in his heading the words "and Moshe (brought their case)" because it could only be called a "case" for *Moshe*. For anyone other than Moshe, it would have been called a matter and not a case.

However, a question remains. How is it possible that Moshe, the teacher of the Jewish Nation, the one who received the Torah for all Jews in all generations, forgot a law? Therefore, Rashi continues that there was a good reason for Moshe forgetting this law. It was a punishment for having said, "The case that is too difficult for you, bring it to me." That presents us with another difficulty. Moshe said that during the first year in the wilderness. Why did Hashem wait 39 years to punish him? When Hashem punishes someone, it is not merely for the sake of punishment. Instead, it cleanses, "fixes" the damage caused by the offense. It is hard to understand that G-d would wait that long to rectify something for Moshe. This is especially true because the nation would have thought that he behaved adequately for all of those years!

That is why Rashi also offers a second answer. It was due to the merit of Tzelofchod's daughters. Rashi is saying that Moshe did not forget the law. Instead, he is explaining why Hashem taught this law now.

That is also why Rashi is particular to say that this law was *written*, not *said*, in the merit of the daughters of Tzelofchod. Hashem had already taught Moshe the laws of inheritance. However, it was in

THE RASHI OF THE WEEK

their merit that it was written in the Torah. However, this poses a powerful question. Can we say that due to their merit, Moshe should forget Torah laws? That's why Rashi writes the other explanation first. The explanation Rashi writes first is the primary explanation, according to Peshat. It is challenging to say that Moshe, the first link in Torah's transmission to all generations, would forget a law because of the merit of others.

(Adapted from a talk given on Shabbos Parshas Pinchos 5726)

I hope you gained as much by reading this as I did by translating and adapting it.

Click here to dedicate a week, a month, or a year to the Rashi of the Week.

You can find us online at www.RebbeTeachesRashi.org.

**DEDICATED IN HONOR OF
THE LUBAVITCHER REBBE**

* * *

IN HONOR OF

The Soldiers of "Tzivos Hashem"

**CHAIM, AIDEN ODED, ZACHARIAH MATAN, AND NOACH ARIEL שיחיו
MORRIS**

May they merit to be a source of Chassidic pride
to their family and a Torah light to their community

*

DEDICATED BY THEIR PARENTS

Rabbi & Mrs. **Menachem Mendel and Chaya Mushka שיחיו
Morris**

* * *

IN HONOR OF

Mrs. Esther שתחי Sharabani

May she go from strength to strength
in health, happiness, Torah, and mitzvot

*

DEDICATED BY HER SON

Mr. Gershon (Geri) שי Bentov

מוקדש לזכות
כ"ק אדמו"ר נשיא דורנו מליובאוויטש

* * *

לזכות
חיילי "צבאות השם"
חיים, עדן עודד, זכרי' מתן, ונח אריאל שיחיו
מאריס

*

נדפס ע"י הוריהם
הרה"ת ר' מנחם מענדל וחי' מושקא שיחיו

מאריס

* * *

לזכות
מרת אסתר שתחי' שרבני
לאריכות ימים ושנים טובות עד ביאת גואל צדק
ומתוך בריאות הנכונה ולשנת ברכה והצלחה בגו"ר

*

נדפס ע"י בנה
ר' גרשון שי' בן טוב